



Marshall Te4Q Workshop Evaluation

Type: Marshall Te4Q Evaluation


Date: 9/29/2014

Time Zone in which Dates/Times Appear: (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)


Total number of responses collected: 14



2: Please rate the usefulness of the Self-Assessment
(Respondents could only choose a single response)




58: Please rate the core reading materials
(Respondents could only choose a single response)





3: Please rate each element of the Adult Learning Principles section's content
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)






4: Additional comments on content of the Adult Learning Principles section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Nancy Davis capitalized on every teachable interaction and endeavored to bring all learners to the same place of understanding.	
	Valid Responses 1
	Total Responses 9




5: Please rate the following aspects of the format of the Adult Learning Principles section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





7: Please rate the coaching during the Adult Learning Principles section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





10: General comments on Adult Learning Principles Section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Good	
Very pertinent, helpful material	
Well presented	
	Valid Responses 3
	Total Responses 9




50: Please comment on the effectiveness of Nancy Davis as a presenter, including pace, content, knowledge, and delivery.

(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)


Response	
Excellent	
Very good	
She is excellent	
Very good presenter, clear, knowledgeable with nice delivery. Good content, responded well to questions.	
Nancy is very good	
Very good presenter, keeps audience engaged	
Well done	
Great!	
Very effective facilitator and presenter. Mastery of the subject was evident and motivation to strive to her level was palpable.	
	Valid Responses 9
	Total Responses 9



23: Please rate each element of the Effective Teaching of QI/PS section's content
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)




24: Please rate the following aspects of the format of the Effective Teaching of QI/PS section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





26: Please rate the coaching during the Effective Teaching of QI/PS section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





29: General comments on Effective Teaching of QI/PS
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent	
Very good	
Excellent material and resources. However, since I am not very computer literature, all the resources were a little difficult to comprehend in a brief period of time	
Well done	
	Valid Responses 4
	Total Responses 9




73: Please comment on the effectiveness of Dr. Flora as a presenter, including pace, content, knowledge, and delivery.

(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent, so much information	
Excellent	
Excellent.	
Excellent delivery, great examples. Very knowledgeable.	
The initial lecture was almost 2 hrs straight and could have been more concise and to the point.	
Well done	
At times D. Flora appeared a bit disjointed in his formal lecture, but every interpersonal, coaching and group activity was very engaging and the mastery of the subject was evident as well as his willingness to share the knowledge and comprehension.	
	Valid Responses 7
	Total Responses 9



30: Please rate each element of the Assessing the Impact: Learner Assessment section's content
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)






31: Additional comments on content of Assessing the Impact: Learner Assessment section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
I am still not very confident using the different models.	
	Valid Responses 1
	Total Responses 9




32: Please rate the following aspects of the format of the Assessing the Impact: Learner Assessment section

(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





34: Please rate the coaching during the Assessing the Impact: Learner Assessment section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





67: Additional comments on coaching
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Ms. Davis was very helpful	
Valid Responses	1
Total Responses	9



37: General comments on Assessing the Impact: Learner Assessment Section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
New information presented	
Good overall experience	
	Valid Responses 2
	Total Responses 9




52: Please comment on the effectiveness of Nancy Davis as a presenter, including pace, content, knowledge, and delivery.

(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent	
Very good	
Excellent.	
Ms. Davis was a very effective presenter and clarified points if asked, nice pace. Good delivery.	
I thoroughly enjoyed her presentation style and content. Very engaging and created an atmosphere of learning and collaboration.	
Valid Responses	5
Total Responses	9




65: Please rate each element of the Assessing the Impact: Program Evaluation section's content
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)






62: Please rate the following aspects of the format of the Assessing the Impact: Program Evaluation section

(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





61: Please rate the coaching during the Assessing the Impact: Program Evaluation section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





59: General comments on Assessing the Impact: Program Evaluation Section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent program	
Helpful in my project which included working with others.	
	Valid Responses 2
	Total Responses 9




60: Please comment on the effectiveness of Dr. Flora as a presenter, including pace, content, knowledge, and delivery.

(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent	
Excellent.	
Good presenter with appropriate pace. Nice examples.	
see previous comments	
One of the best! fantastic job	
The presentation of Dr. Flora is thorough and engaging. His ability to help focus the discussion and stimulate the interactions of the group.	
	Valid Responses 6
	Total Responses 9




38: Please rate each element of the Making the Case & Leading Change section's content
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)






40: Please rate the following aspects of the format of the Making the Case & Leading Change section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





42: Please rate the coaching during the Making the Case & Leading Change section
(Respondents could only choose a single response for each topic)





70: Please comment on the effectiveness of Dr. Flora as a presenter, including pace, content, knowledge, and delivery.

(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Excellent	
Excellent.	
Excellent overall	
Very interactive and helpful	
Valid Responses	4
Total Responses	9



71: General comments on Making the Case & Leading Change Section
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Better understanding of the background, implementation	
	Valid Responses 1
	Total Responses 9




21: The following are the objectives for the workshop:

By the end of the workshop, QI/PS-savvy faculty will be able to:

...

Please rate the effectiveness of the workshop in meeting these objectives
(Respondents could only choose a single response)





57: Do you feel prepared to develop your project? If not, what more do you need?
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Yes	
Yes	
Yes.	
I feel prepared to start a project but I am still not entirely sure about assessment and evaluation.	
I am at novice stage and the OSU program will be used to reinforce my tool set	
More comfortable than when I arrived.	
yes	
Yes. This workshop has identified and clarified the project needs.	
	Valid Responses 8
	Total Responses 9



19: What could we change in order to better prepare participants for this workshop
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
More on quality tools	
None.	
Order seemed appropriate. I think there may be benefit for more time actually working on projects with coaching.	
Nothing is readily identified.	
	Valid Responses 4
	Total Responses 9



20: Please provide any additional comments you have regarding the workshop overall.
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
outstanding. really enjoyed it.	
Excellent workshop.	
I felt that I truly learned something during the workshop.	
good but all need a solid foundation -- OSU website program would be helpful as a pre-participation activity	
really liked the tinker toys group activities. will use it in resident orientation	
Solid content and excellent delivery of the information to work towards the accomplishment of threading quality into every teaching aspect.	
	Valid Responses 6
	Total Responses 9



15: Was there too much of something or too little of something
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Just right.	
A lot of slides. Many diagrams and models mentioned. Some were very clear but others less so. I probably needed more examples or time understanding these.	
Just right	
There was a good balance of activities, group work and diadactic learning.	
	Valid Responses 4
	Total Responses 9



16: What did you feel were the workshop's strengths
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
Interactive	
Excellent presentation of principles,tools and methods in developing QI projects.	
Good understanding of benefits of QI/PS, resources available, how to start a project and teach others in this.	
The knowledge and resources shared	
Excellent presentors and facilitators and participants.	
	Valid Responses 5
	Total Responses 9



17: What did you feel were the workshop's weaknesses
(Respondents were limited to brief text responses)

Response	
A lot of information in a short time. I really did not entirely understand some of the models such as logic model.	
Dr. Flora's long lecture	
I would have like to have had all of Dr. Flora's slides to follow druing the presentation.	
Valid Responses	3
Total Responses	9