Isotretinoin treatment for acne and risk of depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis



Yu-Chen Huang, MD,^{a,b} and Ying-Chih Cheng, MD^{c,d} *Taipei and New Taipei City, Taiwan*

Background: The relationship between isotretinoin treatment for acne and depression is controversial. Quantitative analysis has not yet been conducted.

Objective: To conduct a meta-analysis, evidence-based examination of the relationship between isotretinoin and depression.

Method: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature published from inception to September 30, 2016, was conducted. Controlled or prospective non-controlled trials on \geq 15 acre patients receiving isotretinoin treatment were included. The prevalence of depression and change in depression scores were calculated.

Result: Thirty-one studies met the inclusion criteria. In the controlled studies, the change in depression scores from baseline was not significantly different between patients receiving isotretinoin treatment and those receiving an alternative treatment (standardized mean difference [SMD] -0.334, 95% confidence interval [CI] -0.680 to 0.011). The prevalence of depression after isotretinoin treatment significantly declined (relative risk [RR] 0.588, 95% CI 0.382-0.904). The mean depression scores significantly decreased from baseline (SMD -0.335, 95% CI -0.498 to -0.172).

Limitations: No randomized controlled trials were reviewed; a large inter-study variation was observed.

Conclusions: Isotretinoin treatment for acne does not appear to be associated with an increased risk for depression. Moreover, the treatment of acne appears to ameliorate depressive symptoms. (J Am Acad Dermatol 2017;76:1068-76.)

Key words: acne; depression; isotretinoin; meta-analysis; psychological impact; systemic review.

cne is a common, chronic skin condition that affects nearly all adolescents. Isotretinoin is the most effective treatment available for recalcitrant nodulocystic acne.¹ The possible induction of depressive symptoms by isotretinoin treatment for acne was first reported in 1983.² In 1998, the US Food and Drug Administration issued a warning regarding the possible associations of isotretinoin with depression, psychosis, suicidal ideation, and suicide. However, 2 large population-

Funding sources: None.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

Accepted for publication December 20, 2016.

Abbreviations used:

CI: confidence interval RCT: randomized controlled trial RR: risk ratio SD: standard deviation SMD: standardized mean difference

based studies in 2000³ and 2003,⁴ as well as several controlled ⁵⁻⁸ and noncontrolled studies,⁹⁻¹⁴ failed to

Published online March 10, 2017.

0190-9622/\$36.00

From the Department of Dermatology, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University^a; Department of Dermatology, School of Medicine and College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University^b; Department of Psychiatry, Cardinal Tien Hospital, New Taipei City^c; and Department of Public Health and Institute of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, College of Public Health, National Taiwan University, Taipei.^d

Reprints not available from the authors.

Correspondence to: Yu-Chen Huang, MD, Department of Dermatology, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, 111, Hsing-Long Road Sec 3, Wenshan District, Taipei City 116, Taiwan. E-mail: dhist2002@yahoo.com.tw.

^{© 2016} by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2016.12.028

demonstrate an increased risk for depression or suicide associated with isotretinoin. In 2008, a case cross-over study by Azoulay et al¹⁵ revealed a statistically significant association between isotretinoin and depression. However, a large population-based study¹⁶ found acne alone to be significantly associated with depression and suicidal ideation. Despite

CAPSULE SUMMARY

risk for depression.

controversial.

use.

The relationship between isotretinoin

treatment for acne and depression is

Meta-analysis did not show a positive

depression declined after isotretinoin

Although individual susceptibility to

depression during isotretinoin use

cannot be ruled out, the available

evidence suggests that patients with

nodulocystic acne can safely be treated

with isotretinoin without increasing their

depression. In fact, incidence of

association between isotretinoin use and

the controversy surrounding isotretinoin, the potential increase in the risk for psychological problems associated with severe acne should also he considered. Multiple studies on the relationship between isotretinoin and depression have been conducted, some of which demonstrated that treating acne with isotretinoin improved depressive symptoms.¹⁷⁻²³ Considering whether sex, isotretinoin dose, treatment time, and the patient baseline psychologic condition affected the results of these studies is crucial. However, with only limited data and small sam-

ple sizes, assessing these confounding factors is difficult. Further, 3 systematic reviews by Strahan et al in 2006,²⁴ Marqueling et al in 2007,²⁵ and Bremner et al in 2012²⁶ did not achieve consistent results. Strahan et al²⁴ and Marqueling et al²⁵ concluded that the current literature does not support a causative association between isotretinoin and depression. However, Bremner et al²⁶ concluded that isotretinoin has a causal link with depression. Here we sought to determine the relationship between isotretinoin and depression by providing a thorough, evidence-based meta-analysis of this controversy.

METHODS

This meta-analysis was carried out in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (http://www.prismastatement.org/).

Data source and search strategy

We identified studies indexed in PubMed, MEDLINE, EmBase, and the Cochrane Library databases from inception of isotretinoin treatment to September 30, 2016, (last literature search day October 4, 2016). All articles included in the present study involved human clinical studies written in English. The search parameters included the terms "depression" combined with "isotretinoin," "accutane," or "13-cis-retinoic acid."

Study selection

We primarily focused our literature search on randomized controlled trials (RCTs). However,

in the absence of an RCT, we included large-scale population-based studies, non-RCT, and prospective open-label studies with ≥ 15 patients with acne who had received isotretinoin therapy. Only studies that provided the prevalence of depression or depression scores were included. Articles from adverse event reporting systems, review articles, case reports, correspondence, and conference report were excluded. Quality assessment was performed using methodological index for nonrandomized studies.²⁷ The studies with quality scores <12 were also excluded.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes of the present study were the prevalence of depression and change in the depression score following isotretinoin therapy.

Data extraction

Data were independently extracted by 2 authors (Dr Huang and Dr Cheng). Any disagreement was resolved by consensus. Data on the following measures were extracted: study design, inclusion criteria, sample size, treatment regimen, study results, and quality scores (Supplemental Table I; available at http://www.jaad.org). For populationbased studies, we extracted the outcome (relative risk [RR]) (Supplemental Table II; available at http:// www.jaad.org). Age, the proportion of male patients, follow-up time, cumulative isotretinoin dose, depression scale, and depression score with standard deviation (SD) before, during, and after treatment were also extracted (Supplemental Tables III and IV; available at http://www.jaad.org). We extracted the number of depression cases (Table I), if provided by the study. The non-RCTs consisted of 2 groups of patients with acne who received isotretinoin or alternative therapy within the same study. Depression was defined by the original study. The cumulative isotretinoin dose was calculated

Study	Cases of depression at baseline, n (%)	Cases of depression during treatment, n (%)	Cases of depression at final follow-up, n (%)	Definition of depression
Bruno et al, 1984 ²⁸	1 (1.1) major depression	10 (11) minor depression	N/A	No definition
Kellett et al, 1999 ¹⁰	6 (18)	N/A	0 (0)	HADS-D > 10
Hull et al, 2000 ²⁹	1 (0.5) had history of	4 (4.4)	2 (4)	Self-reported
	depression			
Strauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴	N/A, 15 (5) had history of	10 (3.3)	N/A	BDI > 13
	psychiatric medication			
Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹	8 (25.81)	4 (19.05)	4 (18.19)	BDI > 13
Chia et al, 2005 ⁵	9 (15.3)	N/A	5 (8.2)	CES-D > 16
Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁹	0 (0)	1 (1)	0 (0)	HSRD > 13
Cohen et al, 2007 ⁶	1 (1)	N/A	2 (2)	CES-D > 15
Kaymark et al, 2009 ³⁰	12 (33.3)	7 (19.4)	4 (11.1)	BDI > 13
Rehn et al, 2009 ²²	9 (7.1)	7 (5.6)	4 (3.2)	$BDI \ge 10$
Ergun et al, 2011 ¹⁷	5 (7.9) had depression	5 (7.9)	2 (40) no follow-up,	Self -reported
	history, 4 of them		3 (60) improved	
	taking antidepressants			
Marron et al, 2013 ²⁰	12 (3.5)	N/A	6 (1.7)	HADS-D > 10
Webster et al, 2014 ³¹	N/A	4 (0.9)	0 (0)	Self-reported
Suarez et al, 2016 ³²	1 (2.8)	3 (8.3)	3 (8.3)	Zung scale > 50

Table I. The prevalence of depression before, during, and after treatment

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; *CES-D*, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression; *HADS-D*, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale-Depression; *HRSD*, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; *N/A*, not available.

according to the dose provided in the original study. If the follow-up time was provided in weeks, we calculated a week to be 7 days; if given in months, we calculated a month to be 30 days. The details of the depression scales are shown in Supplemental Table V (available at http://www.jaad.org).

Data analysis

For the controlled trials, we produced a pooled estimate of the mean difference in the depression score change for patients treated with isotretinoin compared with patients who received alternative therapy. For all studies that provided depression scores, we performed a pooled estimate for the change in depression score. If there were ≥ 3 studies using the same depression scales, a pooled estimate was also performed. Data were then stratified by follow-up time into 3 groups: 1) 1-2 months; 2) 3-4 months; and 3) past 4 months. Separate meta-analyses were then conducted for each subgroup, as well as a pooled analysis of the prevalence of depression before and after treatment for studies with a clear definition of depression and a depression case number. Continuous data were analyzed using the weighted mean difference when comparing grouped studies using the same scale or standardized mean difference (SMD) when comparing variable and nonstandardized outcome scales reported across studies. Dichotomous analyses were conducted using RR.

For meta-analyses of controlled trials, some studies reported the baseline and final scores for 2

groups. We computed the mean change in the scores from baseline and SD for both the isotretinoin and controlled groups. The data were combined in the same analysis as the studies that reported only the final scores of the 2 groups. This computation requires the pre-post correlation value to be input. However, no studies reported the pre-post correlation values necessary to conduct an appropriately paired analysis. We used a pre-post correlation of 0.5.³³ The same computations were conducted for all meta-analyses in prospective open-label studies with before and after data. Sensitivity analyses were conducted for each case by using pre-post correlations of 0.2 and 0.8. If studies lacked necessary data, they were not pooled in the meta-analysis. Similarly, none of the uncontrolled studies that measured dichotomous outcomes before and after treatment reported data in a matched format; therefore, these outcomes were analyzed as unmatched data, shown to be similar and easier to interpret than matched analyses.³⁴ Homogeneity testing was performed using the I² test. A fixed-effects model was used if there was a lack in heterogeneity. When I^2 was >60%, a random-effect model was used.

A meta-regression was performed to determine the effect of the cumulative isotretinoin dose, proportion of male patients, high baseline depression scores (studies with baseline scores exceeding the definition of depression, categorical variable), and quality scores on the change of depression



Fig 1. Selection of studies included in the present systematic review and meta-analysis.

scores for patients who received isotretinoin. Publication bias was tested by the Egger test. All of the above analyses were performed using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software version 3 (Biostat, Inc, Englewood, NJ).

RESULTS

Search results and trial characteristics

Of the 172 studies screened, the inclusion criteria were met in 31 studies (Fig 1): 3 population-based studies, 8 controlled studies, and 20 prospective open-label studies, of which 4 provided only the prevalence of depression without before and

after depression scores. A summary of the trial characteristics is presented in Table I.

Of the 31 studies, 1 population-based study found that isotretinoin significantly increased the risk of depression, while 2 open-label studies found increased depression scores following isotretinoin therapy (1 significantly and the other nonsignificantly increased). The other 10 controlled studies and 15 open-label studies found no association between isotretinoin use and the risk for depression. Eleven out of 25 studies (2 controlled^{5,30} and 9 open-label studies^{10,11,17,19-23,35}) found a significant improvement in the depression scores or a

	No of shidw/	Effect	Correlation 0.5		Correlation 0.2			Correlation 0.8		
	patient	measure	Effect estimate mean (95% CI)	$I^2 P$	Effect estimate mean (95%	, CI) I ²	Ρ	Effect estimate mean (95% CI)	I^2	Ρ
Controlled study	6/210 vs 158	SMD	-0.334 (-0.680 -0.011)	62.4 .05	58 -0.257 (-0.465 to -0.0	349) 40.9	.015	-0.500 (-0.103 to 0.029)	83.6	.064
Depression scores	before and at	fter isotret	tinoin treatment (include cont	rolled and	open-label studies)					
Total	19/1411	SMD	-0.335 (-0.498 to -0.172)	87.2 <.00	01 -0.342 (-0.512 to -0.1	169) 81.3	<.001	-0.316 (-0.461 to -0.170)	93.9	<.001
BDI	8/812	MMD	-1.068 (-2.120 to -0.017)	85.6 .04	16 -1.087 (-2.169 to -0.0	206) 77.5	.049	-1.058 (-2.041 to -0.074)	93.7	.035
HADS-D	4/132	MMD	-2.422 (-3.897 to -0.948)	80.4 .00)1 -2.337 (-3.809 to -0.8	364) 68.8	.002	-2.516 (-4.005 to -1.028)	92.1	.001
1-2 months	8/544	SMD	-0.399 (-0.647 to -0.152)	85.4 .00	12 −0.386 (−0.639 to −0.1	134) 76.5	.003	-0.405 (-0.643 to -0.167)	93.9	.001
3-4 months	15/1002	SMD	-0.199 (-0.420 to 0.022)	90.6 .07	⁷⁸ -0.210 (-0.446 to -0.0	226) 86.4	.081	-0.174 (-0.369 to - 0.021)	95.4	.081
>4 months*	5/645	SMD	-0.347 (-0.571 to -0.123)	84.2 .00	12 -0.328 (-0.555 to -0.1	101) 77.8	.005	-0.370 (-0.589 to -0.152)	93.6	.00
		-				-	22.1			

difference. mean Ited weigr מאאם, difference; mean standardized and Gnanarai et al¹⁸) without follow-up after completion of isotretinoin treatment. JNIU, Scale Hospital Anxiety Depression Ľ, interval; HAUS-*This group contained 2 studies (Simic et al³⁶ confidence beck Depression Inventory; CI, BDI,

reduced frequency of depression following isotretinoin therapy. The improved depression score was significantly greater than the control group in 1 controlled study and nonsignificant in the other controlled study. The remaining 3 studies only provided the prevalence of depression: 1) 11% mild depression after 1 month of therapy; 2) 4% prevalence of depression from the start to therapy completion; and 3) 0.9% during therapy which resolved with continued treatment (Supplemental Table I; available at http://www.jaad.org).

Characterization of patients with acne and isotretinoin use

Clinical and outcome data for patients with acne are summarized (Table I, Supplemental Tables II-IV; available at http://www.jaad.org). Excluding the 3 population-based studies, 2932 acne patients were treated with isotretinoin, of which 2611 completed the studies. The isotretinoin regimen was 0.5-1 mg/kg/day in all studies except for 2 with doses of 0.1-0.22 mg/kg/day and 2 mg/kg/day. The cumulative isotretinoin dose ranged from 15-150 mg/ kg. In 4 studies, the mean scores before treatment exceeded the definition of depression using their own scale. The after score increased in 1 study and decreased below the definition point in the 3 others.

Statistical analysis results

The results of the meta-analysis are presented in Table II. For the 6 controlled studies, the depression score changes from baseline were not significantly different (both improved) among the patients treated with isotretinoin or an alternative therapy (SMD -0.334, 95% confidence interval [CI] -0.680 to 0.011) when imputing a 0.5 correlation (Fig 2).

The prevalence of depression following isotretinoin treatment significantly declined (RR 0.588, 95% CI 0.382-0.904). For the pre- and post-test scores for isotretinoin treatment, the mean depression scores significantly decreased from baseline regardless of the correlation value (SMD -0.335, 95% CI -0.498 to -0.172). The mean depression scores also significantly decreased when assessed by Beck Depression Inventory, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale-Depression (HADS-D), followup at 1-2 months, and follow-up past 4 months. The meta-regression revealed that a high proportion of male patients (coefficient 0.0107, 95% CI 0.0015-0.0199; P = .022) and a high quality score (coefficient 0.2403, 95% CI 0.0638-0.4108; P = .0076) were significantly related to less decrement in depression scores. The funnel plot (Fig 3) for change in depression scores in 19 studies showed no publication bias (Egger test, P = .5158).



Fig 2. Forest plot. Standardized mean difference in depression score change from baseline (post-score minus pre-score) between the isotretinoin group and the control group (isotretinoin group minus control group). The more negative a standardized mean difference is the greater the improvement in depression scores in the isotretinoin group in comparison with the control group.



Funnel Plot of Standard Error by Std diff in means

Fig 3. Funnel plot analysis for potential publication bias. The funnel plot for change in depression scores in 19 studies showed no asymmetry, which means no publication bias. Each dot represents a study; the y-axis represents the standard error of the study and the x-axis shows the study result (standardized mean difference of pre- and post-treatment depression scores in each study).

DISCUSSION

The relationship between isotretinoin treatment for acne and depression remains controversial both clinically and scientifically. Because isotretinoin is a fat-soluble compound, it can easily cross the blood—brain barrier and interact with brain tissue wherever intracellular retinoid receptors are present. It can affect the dopaminergic³⁶ and serotonergic systems,³⁷ hippocampal neurogenesis,³⁸ and frontal orbital activity.⁸ The chronic administration of 1-mg/kg dose of isotretinoin has been shown to increase depression-related behavior in mice and rats in some studies 39,40 but not in others. 41,42

This meta-analysis found no association between isotretinoin and depression. Moreover, symptoms of depression improved following isotretinoin treatment, but this effect was not significantly different from alternative therapy. Among studies that could not be used in this meta-analysis, only the populationbased study by Azoulay et al¹⁵ concluded that isotretinoin significantly increased the risk for depression in acne patients. All 3 population-based studies underestimated the incidence of depression because diagnostic codes and antidepressant prescriptions were used as inclusion criteria and patients with inadequate data were excluded. Moreover, this underestimation might be particularly problematic for the study by Azoulay et al¹⁵ because the RR estimate was based on a small subset of patients who met strict depression and data availability criteria; therefore, the results might not be applicable to a general population of patients treated with isotretinoin.⁴³

The systematic review by Bremner et al²⁶ concluded that isotretinoin had a causal link with depression. Despite their finding that multiple studies demonstrated no association between isotretinoin and depression or an improvement in depressive symptoms following isotretinoin treatment, they concluded that the sample sizes were too small to confirm that most patients receiving isotretinoin did not develop depression. The systematic reviews by Strahan et al,²⁴ Marqueling et al,²⁵ and Bremner et al²⁶ did not include a pooling analysis to derive their conclusion. In our meta-analysis, we pooled the results of 1411 patients who received depression evaluations at baseline and after treatment, which revealed a significant improvement in the depression scores. Bremner et al²⁶ used the study by Meysken et al⁴⁴ to support a dose-response relationship of up to 3 mg/kg/day isotretinoin for advanced cancer patients, 25% of whom exhibited depression. However, high doses that might cause symptoms of depression are not usually prescribed for acne. In our study, the typical dose prescribed for acne (0.5-1 mg/kg) was not found to have a dose-related risk for depression, consistent with the results of the study by Azoulay et al.¹⁵

Bremner et al²⁶ stated that depression usually develops 1-2 months or sometimes around 2-4 months after treatment and further emphasized that challenge-rechallenge cases support a causal link. In our study, the depression scores significantly decreased within the first 1-2 months and after 4 months and tended to decrease (but not significantly) within 3-4 months. Although 4 studies found increased scores at 3-4 months, 2 of them found that scores at 6 months had decreased below baseline despite continued treatment. The other 2 studies involved follow-up for only 3-4 months. Webster et al³¹ found that in all patients who reported depression, the condition resolved without discontinuing isotretinoin. Increased depression scores or newly reported depression cases might be explained by the persistence of acne or other side effects of isotretinoin, which might resolve over the course of treatment.

In our study, similar to that in the study by Halvorsen et al,¹⁶ the patients with acne had high baseline depression scores, which exceeded the depression cut-off points of 4 studies. However, meta-regression results revealed that high baseline scores did not affect depression score changes and male patients were as capable of improving depression scores as female patients.

From the view of prevalence of depression, the risk was significantly decreased after treatment. However, some studies described newly developed depression during treatment. In the controlled trial conducted by Suarez et al,³² new onset of depression was noted in both the isotretinoin and antibiotic groups, implying that depression is associated with acne, independently of isotretinoin. Thus, physicians should consider the possibility of depression among all acne patients regardless of the treatment method. We also agree with Bremner et al²⁶ that some patients are more susceptible to depression. However, if there is a link between isotretinoin and depression, no predictive tests exist for quantifying the level of risk to patients; perhaps it is an idiosyncratic reaction.⁴

Our study has some limitations. The first limitation was that no RCTs were included; however, we included recent studies with the highest level of evidence, all of which demonstrated a weak relationship between isotretinoin and depression. The second limitation was high inter-study variability (eg, different evaluation tools). Therefore, we used the standardized mean difference outcome measure for the meta-analyses of continuous data and performed meta-regression for sensitivity analysis. The quality score affected the change in depression scores: when omitting the studies with the lowest scores, the improvement in depression score remained significant.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis demonstrated that isotretinoin treatment for acne at the typical therapeutic dose is not associated with an increased risk for depression. Moreover, the treatment of acne improved symptoms of depression for most patients. Some patients might be more prone to depression regardless of acne or other conditions. Thus, closely monitoring acne patients for depression is essential to identify patients at a high risk.

REFERENCES

- 1. Webster GF. Acne vulgaris. BMJ. 2002;325:465-469.
- 2. Hazen PG, Carney JF, Walker AE, et al. Depression—a side effect of 13-cis-retinoic acid therapy. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1983;9:278-279.
- **3.** Jick SS, Kremers HM, Vasilakis-Scaramozza C. Isotretinoin use and risk of depression, psychotic symptoms, suicide, and attempted suicide. *Arch Dermatol.* 2000;136:1231-1236.

- Hersom K, Neary MP, Levaux HP, et al. Isotretinoin and antidepressant pharmacotherapy: a prescription sequence symmetry analysis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2003;49:424-432.
- Chia CY, Lane W, Chibnall J, et al. Isotretinoin therapy and mood changes in adolescents with moderate-to-severe acne: a cohort study. *Arch Dermatol.* 2005;141:557-560.
- 6. Cohen J, Adams S, Patten S. No association found between patients receiving isotretinoin for acne and the development of depression in a Canadian prospective cohort. *Can J Clin Pharmacol.* 2007;14:e227-e233.
- Ng CH, Tam MM, Celi E, et al. Prospective study of depressive symptoms and quality of life in acne vulgaris patients treated with isotretinoin compared to antibiotic and topical therapy. *Australas J Dermatol.* 2002;43:262-268.
- Bremner JD, Fani N, Ashraf A, et al. Functional brain imaging alterations in acne patients treated with isotretinoin. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2005;162:983-991.
- Kaymak Y, Kalay M, Ilter N, et al. Incidence of depression related to isotretinoin treatment in 100 acne vulgaris patients. *Psychol Rep.* 2006;99:897-906.
- **10.** Kellett SC, Gawkrodger DJ. The psychological and emotional impact of acne and the effect of treatment with isotretinoin. *Br J Dermatol.* 1999;140:273-282.
- Kellett SC, Gawkrodger DJ. A prospective study of the responsiveness of depression and suicidal ideation in acne patients to different phases of isotretinoin therapy. *Eur J Dermatol.* 2005;15:484-488.
- 12. Rubinow DR, Peck GL, Squillace KM, et al. Reduced anxiety and depression in cystic acne patients after successful treatment with oral isotretinoin. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1987; 17:25-32.
- Schulpis K, Georgala S, Papakonstantinou ED, et al. Psychological and sympatho-adrenal status in patients with cystic acne. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 1999;13:24-27.
- 14. Strauss JS, Leyden JJ, Lucky AW, et al. Safety of a new micronized formulation of isotretinoin in patients with severe recalcitrant nodular acne: a randomized trial comparing micronized isotretinoin with standard isotretinoin. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2001;45:196-207.
- Azoulay L, Blais L, Koren G, et al. Isotretinoin and the risk of depression in patients with acne vulgaris: a case-crossover study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69:526-532.
- Halvorsen JA, Stern RS, Dalgard F, et al. Suicidal ideation, mental health problems, and social impairment are increased in adolescents with acne: a population-based study. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2011;131:363-370.
- Ergun T, Seckin D, Ozaydin N, et al. Isotretinoin has no negative effect on attention, executive function and mood. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2012;26:431-439.
- Gnanaraj P, Karthikeyan S, Narasimhan M, et al. Decrease in "Hamilton rating scale for depression" following isotretinoin therapy in acne: an open-label prospective study. *Indian J Dermatol.* 2015;60:461-464.
- **19.** Hahm BJ, Min SU, Yoon MY, et al. Changes of psychiatric parameters and their relationships by oral isotretinoin in acne patients. *J Dermatol.* 2009;36:255-261.
- Marron SE, Tomas-Aragones L, Boira S. Anxiety, depression, quality of life and patient satisfaction in acne patients treated with oral isotretinoin. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 2013;93: 701-706.
- Nevoralova Z, Dvorakova D. Mood changes, depression and suicide risk during isotretinoin treatment: a prospective study. Int J Dermatol. 2013;52:163-168.
- Rehn LM, Meririnne E, Hook-Nikanne J, et al. Depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation during isotretinoin

treatment: a 12-week follow-up study of male Finnish military conscripts. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* 2009;23: 1294-1297.

- 23. Yesilova Y, Bez Y, Ari M, et al. Effects of isotretinoin on obsessive compulsive symptoms, depression, and anxiety in patients with acne vulgaris. *J Dermatolog Treat.* 2012;23: 268-271.
- Strahan JE, Raimer S. Isotretinoin and the controversy of psychiatric adverse effects. Int J Dermatol. 2006;45:789-799.
- 25. Marqueling AL, Zane LT. Depression and suicidal behavior in acne patients treated with isotretinoin: a systematic review. *Semin Cutan Med Surg.* 2007;26:210-220.
- Bremner JD, Shearer KD, McCaffery PJ. Retinoic acid and affective disorders: the evidence for an association. J Clin Psychiatry. 2012;73:37-50.
- Slim K, Nini E, Forestier D, et al. Methodological index for non-randomized studies (minors): development and validation of a new instrument. ANZ J Surg. 2003;73:712-716.
- Bruno NP, Beacham BE, Burnett JW. Adverse effects of isotretinoin therapy. *Cutis*. 1984;33:484-489.
- Hull PR, Demkiw-Bartel C. Isotretinoin use in acne: prospective evaluation of adverse events. J Cutan Med Surg. 2000;4: 66-70.
- **30.** Kaymak Y, Taner E, Taner Y. Comparison of depression, anxiety and life quality in acne vulgaris patients who were treated with either isotretinoin or topical agents. *Int J Dermatol.* 2009;48:41-46.
- Webster GF, Leyden JJ, Gross JA. Results of a phase III, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, non-inferiority study evaluating the safety and efficacy of isotretinoin-Lidose in patients with severe recalcitrant nodular acne. J Drugs Dermatol. 2014;13:665-670.
- Suarez B, Serrano A, Cova Y, et al. Isotretinoin was not associated with depression or anxiety: a twelve-week study. *World J Psychiatry*. 2016;6:136-142.
- Abrams KR, Gillies CL, Lambert PC. Meta-analysis of heterogeneously reported trials assessing change from baseline. *Stat Med.* 2005;24:3823-3844.
- **34.** Zou GY. One relative risk versus two odds ratios: implications for meta-analyses involving paired and unpaired binary data. *Clin Trials.* 2007;4:25-31.
- Bozdag KE, Gulseren S, Guven F, et al. Evaluation of depressive symptoms in acne patients treated with isotretinoin. J Dermatolog Treat. 2009;20:293-296.
- Diehl DJ, Gershon S. The role of dopamine in mood disorders. Compl Psychiatry. 1992;33:115-120.
- O'Reilly KC, Trent S, Bailey SJ, et al. 13-cis-retinoic acid alters intracellular serotonin, increases 5-HT1A receptor, and serotonin reuptake transporter levels in vitro. *Exp Biol Med* (*Maywood*). 2007;232:1195-1203.
- Bremner JD, McCaffery P. The neurobiology of retinoic acid in affective disorders. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychia*try. 2008;32:315-331.
- O'Reilly KC, Shumake J, Gonzalez-Lima F, et al. Chronic administration of 13-cisretinoic acid increases depression-related behavior in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2006;31: 1919-1927.
- 40. Trent S, Drew CJ, Mitchell PJ, et al. Chronic treatment with 13-cis-retinoic acid changes aggressive behaviours in the resident-intruder paradigm in rats. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol*. 2009;19:876-886.
- **41.** Ferguson S, Cisneros FJ, Gough B, et al. Chronic oral treatment with 13-cis-retinoic acid (isotretinoin) or all-transretinoic acid does not alter depression-like behaviors in rats. *Toxicol Sci.* 2005;87:451-459.

- **42.** Ferguson S, Cisneros FJ, Hanig JP, et al. Oral treatment with Accutane does not increase measures of anhedonia or depression in rats. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2007;29:642-651.
- **43.** Bigby M. Does isotretinoin increase the risk of depression? *Arch Dermatol.* 2008;144:1197-1199.
- 44. Meyskens FL. Short clinical reports. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1982;6:732.
- **45.** Goodfield MJ, Cox NH, Bowser A, et al. Advice on the safe introduction and continued use of isotretinoin in acne in the UK. *Br J Dermatol.* 2010;162:1172-1179.
- **46.** Simic D, Situm M, Letica E, et al. Psychological impact of isotretinoin treatment in patients with moderate and severe acne. *Coll Antropol.* 2009;33(Suppl 2):15-19.
- **47.** McGrath EJ, Lovell CR, Gillison F, et al. A prospective trial of the effects of isotretinoin on quality of life and depressive symptoms. *Br J Dermatol.* 2010;163:1323-1329.
- **48.** Ferahbas A, Turan MT, Esel E, et al. A pilot study evaluating anxiety and depressive scores in acne patients treated with isotretinoin. *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2004;15:153-157.
- 49. Ormerod AD, Thind CK, Rice SA, et al. Influence of isotretinoin on hippocampal-based learning in human subjects. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2012;221:667-674.
- 50. Fakour Y, Noormohammadpour P, Ameri H, et al. The effect of isotretinoin (roaccutane) therapy on depression and quality of life of patients with severe acne. *Iran J Psychiatry*. 2014;9:237-240.

Supplemental Table I. Summary of included studies

Study	Study design	Patient inclusion criteria	Sample size	Isotretinoin dose	Study result	Score
Population-based studie	25					
Jick et al, 2000 ³	Case control study	Between 6 months and 5 years before, and at least 12 months after, their first isotretinoin or antibiotic prescription.	7195 isotretinoin vs 13,700 antibiotic 340 isotretinoin vs 676 antibiotic	N/A	No association	
Hersom et al, 2003 ⁴	Sequence symmetry analysis	12-49 years Isotretinoin prescriptions between June 1, 1999, and March 31, 2000	2821 isotretinoin vs 7360 antibiotic	N/A	No association	
Azoulay et al, 2008 ¹⁵	Case crossover study	Received ≥1 isotretinoin prescription during 1984-2003	30,496 (126 depression patients)	N/A	Statistically significant association between isotretinoin and depression	
Nonrandomized control	led trials					
Ng et al, 2002 ⁷	Prospective controlled study	Severe cystic acne No current diagnosis of depression, concomitant antidepressants, corticosteroids, anabolic steroids, or other depression- inducing medications	174 (171) vs 41 antibiotic/topical	Started at 40 mg/day, increased to a dosage of 1.0 mg/kg/day over 1 month and continued to total cumulative dose of 120 mg/kg (over 5-6 months)	No association	14 + 7
Chia et al, 2005 ⁵	Prospective controlled study, intent-to-treat analysis	12-19 years Moderate-to-severe inflammatory and cystic acne, no history of or current DSM-IV Axis I diagnosis	59 isotretinoin vs 73 conservative therapy (49 vs 52)	1 mg/kg/day	Both treatments associated with a decreased depression symptoms but no significant difference	14 + 7

Continued

Study	Study design	Patient inclusion criteria	Sample size	Isotretinoin dose	Study result	Score
Bremner et al, 2005 ⁸	Prospective, controlled study	18 and 50 years Treatment-resistant acne, defined as a failed 3- month antibiotic trial, no current psychiatric illness according to the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV	14 isotretinoin vs 16 oral antibiotics (13 vs 15)	1 mg/kg/day for 4 months	No association	13 + 7
Cohen et al, 2007 ⁶	Prospective, controlled study	≥14 years, not under treatment with antidepressants	100 isotretinoin vs 100 oral antibiotics or topical cream	N/A	No association	12 + 8
Kaymak et al, 2009 ³⁰	Prospective, controlled study	No	37 isotretinoin vs 41 topical treatment (36 vs 29)	0.5-0.8 mg/kg/d of isotretinoin for at least 20 weeks, ensuring that the cumulative dose was 100 mg/kg	Isotretinoin treatment of acne improved depression symptoms more than control group.	13 + 7
Simic et al, 2009 ⁴⁶	Prospective, controlled study	No	41 isotretinoin vs 44 vitamin C (40 vs 44)	1 mg/kg/day	No association	14 + 5
McGrath et al, 2010 ⁴⁷	Prospective, controlled study	12-50 years	65 isotretinoin vs 31 antibiotic (48 vs 23)	0.5 mg/kg/day for the first 2 weeks, then 1 mg/kg/day until cumulative dose of 120 mg/kg	No association	13 + 8
Suarez et al, 2016 ³²	Prospective, controlled study	≥18 years	36 vs 24 oral or topical antibiotic with benzoyl peroxide	30mg/day	No association	12 + 7
Noncontrolled trials						
Bruno et al, 1984 ²⁸	Prospective, open-label study	No	94 (92)	 (1) 0.75-1.21 mg/kg/ day for 16 weeks (2) 0.1-0.22 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks 	Minor depression reported in 11% of patients in both groups	13

Continued

Study	Study design	Patient inclusion criteria	Sample size	Isotretinoin dose	Study result	Score
Rubinow et al, 1987 ¹²	Prospective, open-label study	Treatment-resistant cystic acne and >10 active cysts	72 (66)	High dose (2 mg/kg/day) and low dose (0.5 mg/ kg/day): (1) high doses for 2 weeks and low doses for 14 weeks (n = 24) (2) high doses for 2 weeks and pla- cebo for 14 weeks (n = 24) (3) low doses for 16 weeks (n = 24)	No association	13
Kellett et al, 1999 ¹⁰	Prospective, open-label study	Unresponsiveness to standard acne treatment regimens	34 (15)	1 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks	Significant improvement of depression scores	13
Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³	Prospective, open-label study	No	38	0.5 mg/kg/day for 30 days	No association	12
Hull et al, 2000 ²⁹	Prospective, open-label study	Severe acne	189 (82)	1 mg/kg/day for 4 months	Around 4% depression before and after treatment	12
Strauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴	Prospective, open-label study*	Severe recalcitrant nodular acne BDI-II ≥ 31 were excluded	300 (290)	1 mg/kg/day for a mean of 129.2 days	No association	13 [†]
Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹	Prospective, open-label study	Nonresponsiveness to standard acne treatment	33 (21)	1 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks	Significant improvement of cognitive-affective features of depression within first 8 weeks	13
Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁹	Prospective, open-label study	No	100	0.75-1.00 mg/kg/day of isotretinoin for 20- 28 weeks, ensuring that the cumulative dose was 100 mg/kg	Depression scores increased at month 3 but decreased below baseline at the end of month 6	15
Hahm et al, 2009 ¹⁹	Prospective, open-label study	Grades 1-7 by the Leeds RAGS	38	0.5—1 mg/kg per day for 8 weeks	Significant improvement of depression scores	14

Continued

Study	Study design	Patient inclusion criteria	Sample size	Isotretinoin dose	Study result	Score
Ferahbas et al, 2009 ⁴⁸	Prospective, open-label study	Severe recalcitrant acne, no one with any major psychiatric illnesses	45 (23)	0.5-1 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks.	No association	13
Bozdag et al, 2009 ³⁵	Prospective, open-label study	Moderate-to-severe recalcitrant acne, no prior diagnosis of depression	50	1 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks	Significant improvement of depression scores	12
Rehn et al, 2009 ²²	Prospective, open-label study	No	135 (126)	0.5 mg/kg/day	Significant improvement of depression scores	13
Ergun et al, 2011 ¹⁷	Prospective, open-label study	No	63 (48)	0.5 mg/kg/day for the first month, then 1 mg/kg/day until a cumulative dose of 130-150 mg/kg	Significant improvement of depression scores	13
Yesilova et al, 2012 ²³	Prospective, open-label study	≥15 years	43 (33)	0.5—1.0 mg/kg/day for 6 months, cumulative dose of 120 mg/kg	Significant improvement of depression scores	13
Ormerod et al, 2012 ⁴⁹	Prospective, open-label study	≥16 years Severe acne, no pre- existing mental health problems	17 (16)	0.5—1 mg/kg/day for 3- 6 months until satisfactory clearance of acne lesions was achieved, (initial dosage 0.48 mg/kg/ day, mid-treatment dosage 0.62 mg/kg/ day)	Nonsignificant trends toward an increased BDI score with treatment	13
Nevoralova et al, 2013 ²¹	Prospective, open-label study	≥12 years Moderate-to-severe acne	100	Total cumulative dose of isotretinoin was 110- 150 mg/kg, mean length 9 months	Significant improvement of depression scores	14
Marron et al, 2013 ²⁰	Prospective, open-label study, intention-to-treat analysis	≥16 years Moderate acne, no antecedents of mental illness (personal or family members)	346 (334)	Total cumulative dose of 120 mg/kg for 30 weeks	Significant improvement of depression scores	15

Continued

J Am Acad Dermatol June 2017

Study	Study design	Patient inclusion criteria	Sample size	Isotretinoin dose	Study result	Score
Fakour et al, 2014 ⁵⁰	Prospective, open-label study	12-50 years No documented history of mood disorders, not under treatment with psychologic drugs	98	0.5 mg/kg/day for 16 weeks	Depression symptoms increased in patients to some extent.	15
Webster et al, 2014 ³¹	Prospective, open-label study*	12-54 years Severe recalcitrant nodular acne; no psychosis, psychotic symptoms, or suicidal behavior	461 (401)	0.5 mg/kg/day for 4 weeks and then 1 mg/kg/day for 12 weeks	0.9% depression, all cases resolved	12 [†]
Gnanarai et al, 2015 ¹⁸	Prospective, open-label study	Grade 2 to grade 4 acne by the classification by Tutakne et al, no treatment history or family history of psychiatric illnesses	150 (143)	0.5 mg/kg/day for 3 months	Significant improvement of depression scores	14

Only patient inclusion criteria extracted were age, severity of acne, and psychiatric illness.

Sample size presented as before treatment patient number (end treatment patient number).

Score in controlled studies presented as uncontrolled part (highest 16) + controlled part (highest 8).

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; DSM-IV, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition; Leeds RAGS, Leeds Revised Acne Grading System; N/A, not available.

*These studies are randomized controlled studies (regular isotretinoin vs other isotretinoin), but we only extracted the data on the regular isotretinoin group.

[†]Score only refers to the isotretinoin group and depression score results.

Study	Database	Depression definition	Result (risk for depression) adjusted RR (95% CI)	Adjusted covariate
Jick et al, 2000 ³	Canadian Saskatchewan Health database	ICD-9 codes 296-301	Isotretinoin vs nonexposed Current isotretinoin use: 1 (0.7-1.3) Recent isotretinoin use: 0.9 (0.6-1.4) Antibiotics vs nonexposed Current antibiotics use: 1.3 (1.0-1.5) Recent antibiotics use: 0.9 (0.7-1.1)	Age and sex
	United Kingdom General Practice Research database	ICD-9 codes 306-311	Isotretinoin vs nonexposed Current isotretinoin use: 1.8 (0.4-5.2) Recent isotretinoin use: 1.8 (0.3-6.1) Antibiotics vs nonexposed Current antibiotics use: 1.5 (0.9-2.5) Recent antibiotics use: 1.7 (0.8-3.4)	
Hersom et al, 2003 ⁴	Quintiles Informatics database	Patients who received antidepressants: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, secondary and tertiary amine tricyclics, and other antidepressants	lsotretinoin cohort: 0.97 (0.92-1.02) Minocycline cohort: 0.98 (0.95-1.02)	Changes in prescribing patterns
Azoulay et al, 2008 ¹⁵	Régie de l'Assurance Maladie du Québec (RAMQ) and Quebec's hospital discharge (Med-Echo) administrative databases	Patients first diagnosed with depression or hospitalized for depression (ICD-9 codes 296.2, 298.0, 300.4, 309.0, 308.1, and 311); patients needed to receive antidepressants in the 30 days following their diagnosis or hospitalization.	Exposure to Isotretinoin (5-month risk vs control period) 2.68 (1.10-6.48)	Nondermatology visit, dermatology visit, at least 1 hospitalization, at least 1 emergency department visit, number of medication other than isotretinoin

Supplemental Table II. Detailed data and results of three large population-based studies

Cl, Confidence interval; ICD-9, International Classification of Diseases-Ninth Revision; RR, relative risk.

				Isotretinoin					Controlled		
Study	Depression scale	Follow-up time	Isotretinoin cumulative does	Age	Sex	Score	SD	Age	Sex	Score	SD
Ng et al, 2002 ⁷ *	BDI	Baseline	0	20.2 (5.8)	102 (58.6)	3.5	4	N/A	17 (41.5)	N/A	N/A
		1 month	N/A			3.4	3.7			N/A	N/A
		3 months	N/A			3.1	3.7			N/A	N/A
		6 months	120 mg/kg			3	3.9			N/A	N/A
Chia et al, 2005 ⁵	CES-D	Baseline	0	N/A	36 (73.5)	8.1	6.8	N/A	19 (36.5)	9.3	8.7
		3-4 months	90-120 mg/kg			6.6	6.1			8.4	8.1
Bremner et al, 2005 ^{8†}	HRSD	Baseline	0	26 (6)	4 (31)	0.8	1.2	31 (7)	3 (20)	0.5	1.1
		4 months	120 mg/kg			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
Cohen et al, 2007 ^{6†}	CES-D	Baseline	N/A	21.5	41 (41)	3	N/A	N/A	25 (25)	3	N/A
		2 months	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
	Zung scale	Baseline	N/A			30	N/A			31.25	N/A
		2 months	N/A			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A
Kaymak et al, 2009 ³⁰	BDI	Baseline	0	20.61 (1.87)	11 (30.6)	9.77	6.62	20.51 (2.01)	9 (31.0)	9.2	7.52
		2 months	30-48 mg/kg			7.55	6.27			10.6	6.07
		4 months	60-96 mg/kg			5.86	5.16			10.6	5.49
	HADS-D	Baseline	0			5.33	3.51			5.27	3.3
		2 months	30-48 mg/kg			4.44	3			6.27	3.48
		4 months	60-96 mg/kg			3.41	3.08			6.31	3.23
Simic et al, 2009 ^{46‡}	BDI	Baseline	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		8 weeks	56 mg/kg			4.75	5.21			5.79	5.83
		After 4 weeks	N/A			4.78	5.65			5.83	5.1
McGrath et al, 2010 ⁴⁷	CES-D	Baseline	0	19.8 (3.8) [§]	45 (69.2) [§]	10.46	8.56	19.3 (3.9) [§]	16 (51.6) [§]	11.16	9.15
		3 months	84 mg/kg			8.08	7.06			7.89	7.42
Suarez et al, 2016 ³²	Zung scale	Baseline	0	21.5	20 (55.6)	35.2	1.3	23.17	13 (54.2)	34.9	1.6
		6 weeks	1260 mg			33.8	1.4			34.6	1.7
		12 weeks	2520 mg			34.2	1.4			35	1.7
	GeDepr scale	Baseline	0			38.3	2.5			36.9	3.1
		6 weeks	1260 mg			38.1	2.3			34.9	2.9
		12 weeks	2520 mg			36.8	2.3			34.9	2.9

Supplemental Table III. Detailed data of controlled studies included in the meta-analysis

Age presented as mean (SD) and sex presented as male patients (%) except in the study of Cohen et al, in which the age and scores presented as median.

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale-Depression; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; N/A, not available; SD, standard deviation.

*Study did not provide enough controlled group data, so pooled analysis was performed with other open-label studies.

[†]Study did not provide enough data, so it was not included in the pooled analysis.

[‡]Study lacked the baseline depression score, so it could only be included in pooled meta-analysis of controlled studies.

⁸In this study, the age and proportion of male patients were collected before treatment but not after treatment.

Supplemental Table IV. Detailed data of noncontrolled s	studies included in the meta-analysis
---	---------------------------------------

Rubinow et al, 1987 ¹² 21.1 (4.7)* 64 (88.9)* Baseline 4 months 0 HSCL 1.44 0.06 A months 28/56/77 mg/kg 0 POMS 8.71 1.11 4 months 28/56/77 mg/kg 0 HADS-D 6.06 1.6 Kellett et al, 1999 ¹⁰ 24.0 (5.0)* 19 (55.9)* Baseline 0 HADS-D 6.06 1.6 Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 HSCL 1.52 0.07 Strauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴ N/A N/A N/A Baseline 0 HSCL 1.52 0.07 Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24.91 (6.05)* 21 (63.6)* Baseline 0 BDI 13.0 0.6 1 month 15 mg/kg 1.13 4.5 20 weeks 56 mg/kg 10.11 12.64 Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24.91 (6.05)* 21 (63.6)* Baseline 0 BDI 13.29 14.82 Kaymak et al, 2009 ¹⁴ 24.91 (6.05)*	Study	Age	Sex	Follow-up time	Isotretinoin cumulative does	Depression scale	Score	SD
	Rubinow et al 1987 ¹²	21 1 (4 7)*	64 (88 9)*	Baseline	0	нясі	1 4 8	0.06
	Rubinow et al, 1907	21.1 (4.7)	04 (00.9)	1 months	0 28/56/77 ma/ka	HIJCE	1.40	0.00
				Raseline	20/30/77 mg/kg	POMS	8.71	1 1 1
				1 months	0 28/56/77 ma/ka	10005	0.71 Q 21	1.11
Kellett et al, 1999 ¹⁰ 24.0 (5.0)* 19 (55.9)* Baseline 0 HADS-D 6.06 4.16 Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 HSCL 1.52 0.07 1 month 15 mg/kg				4 monuis Pacolino	20/30/77 Hig/kg	МС	0.21	0.56
				A months	0 29/56/77 ma/ka	1015	12.02	0.50
Relifter et al, 1999 24.0 (3.0) 19 (5.3) Baseline 0 HD3-D 0.06 4.16 Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 PISL 1.52 0.07 Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 POMS 9.8 1.3 1 month 15 mg/kg 9.2 1.6 Baseline 0 MS 1.30 0.7 5trauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴ N/A N/A Baseline 0 BDI 1.32.9 1.48 Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24.91 (6.05)* 21 (63.6)* Baseline 0 BDI 1.32.9 1.48 Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁵⁰ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 BDI 1.32.9 1.264 Kaymak et al, 2009 ¹⁹ 2.3 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 BDI 1.3 1.264 Kaymak et al, 2009 ¹⁹ 2.3 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 BDI 1.3 3.2 Hahm et al, 2009 ¹⁹ 2.3 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 MADS	Kallett et al. 1000 ¹⁰	240 (E 0)*	10 (55 0)*	4 monuns Pacalina	26/56/77 mg/kg		12.01	0.0
Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 HSCL 1.52 0.07 Schulpis et al, 1999 ¹³ 17.5 (1.9) 20 (52.6) Baseline 0 HSCL 1.52 0.07 1 month 15 mg/kg .145 0.06 9.88 1.3 1 month 15 mg/kg .16 9.88 1.3 1.6 3.6 4.5 Strauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴ N/A N/A Baseline 0 BDI-II 3.6 4.5 Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24.91 (6.05)* 21 (63.6)* Baseline 0 BDI 1.3.29 14.82 Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁰¹ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 BDI 1.3.29 14.82 Kaymak et al, 2009 ¹² 23 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 HRSD 4.1 3.4 Gi months 100 mg/kg 4 2.5 Baseline 0 MADRS 4.6 3.08 Hahm et al, 2009 ¹² 23 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 MADRS 4.6 3.08 Ferahbas et al, 2009 ²³ 20.4	Kellett et al, 1999	24.0 (3.0)	19 (55.9)		0 112 mg/kg	HAD3-D	0.00	4.10
	Cabulais at al. 1000 ¹³		20 (52 6)	To weeks	112 mg/kg		4.0	3.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Schulpis et al, 1999	17.5 (1.9)	20 (52.6)	Baseline	U 1 E rea er /k.er	HSCL	1.52	0.07
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				T monun Pacalina	is mg/kg	DOME	1.45	0.00
				Baseline	U 1 E rea er /k.er	POINS	9.8	1.5
				i month	15 mg/kg		9.2	1.0
Strauss et al, 2001 ¹⁴ N/A N/A N/A Baseline 0 BDI-li 3.6 4.5 Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24.91 (6.05)* 21 (63.6)* Baseline 0 BDI 13.29 14.82 Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁹ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 HRSD 4.1 3.4 Aymak et al, 2006 ⁹ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 HRSD 4.1 3.4 Hahm et al, 2009 ¹⁹ 23 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 BDI 7.3 N/A Vereks 7-14 mg/kg N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Ferahbas et al, 2009 ⁴⁴ 20.26 (2.09) 13 (56.5) Baseline 0 MADRS 4.6 3.08 16 weeks 26-512 mg/kg .12 mg/kg .6.8 4.5 2.7 4.5 1.1 5.1 Bozdag et al, 2009 ⁴⁴ 20.26 (2.09) 13 (56.5) Baseline 0 MDDI 1.8 3.783 Ferahbas et al, 2009 ²⁵ 20.4 (3.7) 24 (48.0) Baseline 0 BDI 3.948 4.5 4.5 mg/kg				Baseline	0	MS	13.0	0.6
	C	N1 / A	N1 / A	i month	15 mg/kg		13.0	0.7
	Strauss et al, 2001	N/A	N/A	Baseline	0	RDI-II	3.6	4.5
Kellett et al, 2005 ¹¹ 24,91 (6.05) ⁿ 21 (63.6) ⁿ Baseline 0 BDI 13.29 14.82 Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁰ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 HRSD 4.1 3.4 Kaymak et al, 2006 ⁰ 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 BRSD 7.3 N/A Hahm et al, 2009 ^{19†} 23 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 BDI 7.3 N/A Ferahbas et al, 2009 ⁴⁶ 20.26 (2.09) 13 (56.5) Baseline 0 MADRS 4.6 3.08 Bozdag et al, 2009 ³⁵ 20.4 (3.7) 24 (48.0) Baseline 0 BDI 10.94 8.4 Kenn et al, 2009 ²² 20 (0.884) ⁿ 135 (100) Baseline 0 BDI 3.948 4.5<				20 weeks	129.2 mg/kg		1.9	4./
	Kellett et al, 2005	24.91 (6.05)*	21 (63.6)*	Baseline	0	BDI	13.29	14.82
				8 weeks	56 mg/kg		10.35	12.64
Kaymak et al, 2006 ^o 24.2 42 (42.0) Baseline 0 HRSD 4.1 3.4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3.4 3 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.6 3.2 5.8 3.2 5.8 3.2 5.8 3.2 6 6 months 100 mg/kg 4 2.5 7.3 N/A A A A A				16 weeks	112 mg/kg		10.11	12.64
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kaymak et al, 2006 [°]	24.2	42 (42.0)	Baseline	0	HRSD	4.1	3.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				3 months	67.5-90 mg/kg		5.8	3.2
Hahm et al, 2009 ¹⁹¹ 23 11 (28.9) Baseline 0 BDI 7.3 N/A Perahbas et al, 2009 ⁴⁸ 20.26 (2.09) 13 (56.5) Baseline 0 MADRS 4.6 3.08 Bozdag et al, 2009 ³⁵ 20.4 (3.7) 24 (48.0) Baseline 0 BDI 10.94 8.4 Rehn et al, 2009 ²² 20 (0.884)* 135 (100) Baseline 0 BDI 3 3.948 Fergun et al, 2011 ¹⁷ 21.71 (4.2)* 175 (27.0)* Baseline 0 BDI 3 3.948 Fergun et al, 2012 ²³ 22.5 (4.2) 12 (36.4) Baseline 0 HADS-D 4.1 3.1 1 month 15 mg/kg 4.2 2.9 Yesilova et al, 2012 ²³ 22.5 (4.2) 12 (36.4) Baseline 0 HADS-D 14.9 5.7 Ormerod et al, 2012 ²⁴⁹ 22.99 (5.15)* 13 (76.5)* Baseline 0 BDI 3.76 3.36 Nevoralova et al, 2012 ²⁴⁹ 22.94 13 (76.5)* Baseline 0 BDI 4.53 N/A Nevoralova et al, 2	10+			6 months	100 mg/kg		4	2.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hahm et al, 2009	23	11 (28.9)	Baseline	0	BDI	7.3	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				2 weeks	7-14 mg/kg		N/A	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				8 weeks	28-56 mg/kg		N/A	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ferahbas et al, 2009 ⁴⁸	20.26 (2.09)	13 (56.5)	Baseline	0	MADRS	4.6	3.08
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				16 weeks	56-112 mg/kg		3.65	2.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bozdag et al, 2009 ³⁵	20.4 (3.7)	24 (48.0)	Baseline	0	BDI	10.94	8.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				4 weeks	28 mg/kg		7.14	5.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				16 weeks	112 mg/kg		6.8	4.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Rehn et al, 2009 ²²	20 (0.884)*	135 (100)	Baseline	0	BDI	3	3.948
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				4-6 weeks	14-21 mg/kg		2	3.589
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				10-12 weeks	35-42 mg/kg		1.8	3.783
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ergun et al, 2011 ¹⁷	21.71 (4.2)*	17 (27.0)*	Baseline	0	HADS-D	4.1	3.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1 month	15 mg/kg		4.2	2.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				4-5 months	130-150 mg/kg		2.7	2.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Yesilova et al, 2012 ²³	22.5 (4.2)	12 (36.4)	Baseline	0	HADS-D	14.9	5.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				6 months	120 mg/kg		9.4	5.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ormerod et al, 2012 ⁴⁹	22.29 (5.15)*	13 (76.5)*	Baseline	0	BDI	3.76	3.36
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				3 months	45-90 mg/kg		5.76	4.19
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Nevoralova et al, 2013 ^{21†}	18.1	71 (71.0)	Baseline	0	BDI-II	4.53	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1 month			3.12	N/A
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				4 months			3.31	N/A
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				7 months			2.57	N/A
Fakour et al, 2014 ⁵⁰ 22 (4.4) 38 (38.8) Baseline 0 BDI 15.53 10.65 Gnanarai et al, 2015 ¹⁸ 20.71 (3.2) 94 (65.7) Baseline 0 HRSD 3.89 4.9 3 months 45 mg/kg 0.45 1.12 6 months [‡] 45 mg/kg 0.18 0.51				9 months	110-150 mg/kg		2.35	N/A
Gnanarai et al, 2015 ¹⁸ 20.71 (3.2) 94 (65.7) 16 weeks 56 mg/kg 18.23 10.98 3 months 45 mg/kg 0 HRSD 3.89 4.9 6 months [‡] 45 mg/kg 0.45 1.12	Fakour et al, 2014 ⁵⁰	22 (4.4)	38 (38.8)	Baseline	0	BDI	15.53	10.65
Gnanarai et al, 2015 ¹⁸ 20.71 (3.2) 94 (65.7) Baseline 0 HRSD 3.89 4.9 3 months 45 mg/kg 0.45 1.12 6 months [‡] 45 mg/kg 0.18 0.51				16 weeks	56 mg/kg		18.23	10.98
3 months 45 mg/kg 0.45 1.12 6 months [‡] 45 mg/kg 0.18 0.51	Gnanarai et al, 2015 ¹⁸	20.71 (3.2)	94 (65.7)	Baseline	0	HRSD	3.89	4.9
6 months [‡] 45 mg/kg 0.18 0.51	·		-	3 months	45 mg/kg		0.45	1.12
				6 months [‡]	45 mg/kg		0.18	0.51

Age presented as mean (SD) and sex presented as male patients (%).

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; *BDI-II*, Beck Depression Inventory-Version II; *HADS-D*, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale-Depression; *HRSD*, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; *HSCL*, Hopkins Symptoms Checklist; *MADRS*, Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; *MS*, National Institute of Mental Health Mood Scale; *N/A*, not available; *POMS*, Profile of Mood States Scale; *SD*, standard deviation. *In this study, the age and proportion of male patients were collected before treatment but not at the end of the study.

[†]Study did not provide enough data, so it was not include in pooled analysis.

[‡]This follow-up time is after treatment for 3 months.

Scale Scale description Cut-off points

Supplemental Table V. The scales for depression evaluation used in the included studies

oemie	ocure description	
BDI ^{S1,S2}	A patient reporting instrument including 21 groups of 4 statements, in which patients are asked to select the statement that most clearly describes the way they felt in the previous week. The scores are used for measuring symptoms of depression.	 Scores ≥10 indicate mild depression. Scores 0-13 indicate minimal, 14-19 mild, 20-28 moderate, and 29-63 severe depression.
CESD ^{S3}	It consists of 20 items, addressing depression symptoms across 4 dimensions of depressed affect. It is a self-reported scale, and participants indicate how often they experienced each symptom in the preceding week.	Scores range from 0 to 60, where scores $>$ 16 indicate depression.
HADS ⁵⁴	A self-administrated screening scale for symptoms of anxiety and depression. There are 14 questions: 7 refer to symptoms of anxiety and 7 refer to depression. The intensity and frequency of symptoms is evaluated on a 4-point Likert scale (range 0-3), with differing response formulations.	The range of scores is 0-21 for each subscale and 0-42 for the global result. The cut-off point of \geq 11 was used to identify a clinical case and 8-10 to identify a probable case.
HRSD ^{s5}	This scale gives a rating of depression and changes in violent behavior of the patient. There are 17 questions, which are rated from 0 to 4.	0-13 points: no depression, 14-27 points: mild depression, 28-41 points: moderate depression, 42-53 points: severe depression
HSCL ^{S6}	A 58-item questionnaire scored on 5 symptom dimensions. Eleven items contribute to the depression subscale. The intensity and frequency of symptoms is evaluated on a 4-point scale (1-4).	
GeDepr scale ^{S7}	A continuous scale corresponding to the previously mentioned Zung scale plus the following 2 scales for continuous quantification of depression and anxiety levels. The Ge-Depr scale has 2 factors consisting of 16 depression-related items. Scored with a 6-point Likert type scale from 0 (complete disagreement) to 6 (complete agreement) and no neutral score.	No cut-off points
MADRS ⁵⁸	A widely used scale that was designed specifically to measure some of the most important symptoms of a depressive disorder. It includes 10 items (apparent sadness, reported sadness, inner tension, reduced sleep, reduced appetite, concentration difficulties, lassitude, inability to feel, pessimism, and suicidal thoughts), each of which is scored from 0 to 6.	Ratings can be added to form an overall score (range 0-60); no weights are used. Cut-off points include: 0-6 (symptoms absent), 7-19 (mild depression), 30-34 (moderate depression), and 35-60 (severe depression).
MS ^{S9} POMS ^{S10}	A 53-item questionnaire producing an 8-factor score. A 65-item questionnaire generating a 6-factor score. Fifteen items	
	contributed to the depression subscale. The intensity and frequency of symptoms is evaluated on a 5-point scale (0-4) with score range 0-60.	
Zung scale ^{S11}	Every scale consisted of 20 items with positive or negative valence that explored the frequency of depression or anxiety signs and symptoms, as follows: from 1 (rarely) to 4 (always).	Each subject was then classified as nondepressed or nonanxious when scoring <50 points or slightly, moderately, or severely depressed or anxious when scoring 50-59, 60-69, and ≥70 points, respectively.

Scales are enumerated S1-S11.

BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale-Depression; HRSD, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression; HSCL, Hopkins Symptoms Checklist; MADRS, Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale; MS, National Institute of Mental Health Mood Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States Scale.