

# Faculty Council Opinion on New Annual Evaluation Form SurveyMonkey

Do you favor or oppose the proposed annual faculty evaluation form?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Favor		72.7%	8
Oppose		9.1%	1
Other (please specify)		18.2%	2
answered question			11
skipped question			0

**Q1. Do you favor or oppose the proposed annual faculty evaluation form?**

- |   |   |                       |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| 1 | Please consider for "Clinical Track" Patient care RVU goal in the contract to be listed, Achieved patient care RVU goal to be listed as well. Reason: Clinical PnT criterial includes productivity and RVU in patinet care is the productivity for clinical faculty.  | Apr 11, 2013 2:04 PM  |
| 2 | This generally seems OK. Some minor changes might be useful. The section currently headed "Research Activities" really only seems to cover "External funding", according to the instructions, whereas the section headed "Scholarly Activity" covers what is more traditionally called research. So I would probably rename "Scholarly Activity" to "Research Activity" and "Research Activity" to "Extramural Funding". The instructions really only have examples for teaching; these should be updated with examples for the other sections, and probably there should be "typical" examples for both clinical faculty and basic science faculty. I note also this does not appear to be a replacement for the "previous version" linked in the email, which is not an annual review form but a P/T recommendation form. Presumably there will also be a new version of the P/T recommendation form. | Apr 11, 2013 11:04 AM |